STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE & INSPECTION

IN RE: Block Island Power Company FILE NO.: AIR 13 – 08

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

A. Introduction

Pursuant to Sections 42-17.1-2(21) and 42-17.6-3 of the Rhode Island General Laws, as amended, ("R.I. Gen. Laws") you are hereby notified that the Director of the Department of Environmental Management (the "Director" of "DEM") has reasonable grounds to believe that the above-named party ("Respondent") has violated certain statutes and/or administrative regulations under DEM's jurisdiction.

B. Facts

- (1) The subject facility is located at 100 Ocean Avenue in the town of New Shoreham, Rhode Island (the "Facility") and is operated by the Respondent.
- (2) The Facility is a stationary source of air pollutants subject to the DEM's Air Pollution Control ("APC") Regulations.
- (3) The DEM issued the following permits to the Respondent (collectively "the Permits"):
 - (a) Approval No. 1547 (Engine #22) on 13 June 2000; and
 - (b) Approval Nos. 1586 and 1587 (Engine #23) on 5 February 2001.
- (4) The Permits required the Respondent to conduct performance testing to demonstrate compliance with applicable emission limits.
- (5) On 14 May 2001 and 15 May 2001, the Respondent conducted performance testing of Engine #22.
- (6) On 8 November 2001 and 9 November 2001, the Respondent conducted performance testing of Engines #22 and #23.
- (7) On or about 2 July 2001, the DEM received a test report indicating that Engine #22 failed to meet the emission limit for ammonia.
- (8) On 3 January 2002, the DEM received a test report indicating that Engine #23 failed to meet the emission limit for nitrogen oxides and Engine #22 failed to meet the emission limit for ammonia.

- (9) On 28 August 2012 through and 30 August 2012, the Respondent conducted performance testing of its engines.
- (10) On or about 12 December 2012 DEM received a memorandum from the Environmental Protection Agency on its review of the results from the August 2012 performance testing. The memorandum stated that the Respondent demonstrated compliance with emission limits in the Permits.
- (11) The Respondent continuously failed to meet the same emission limits in the Permits from 2001 through 2012.

C. <u>Violation</u>

Based on the foregoing facts, the Director has reasonable grounds to believe that you have violated the following statutes and/or regulations:

(1) **DEM's APC Regulation 9.6.8** – requiring any person who receives a permit to comply with all conditions in the permit.

D. Penalty

(1) Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Section 42-17.6-2, the following administrative penalty, as more specifically described in the attached penalty summary and worksheets, is hereby ASSESSED against each named Respondent:

Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00)

- (2) The proposed administrative penalty is calculated pursuant to the DEM's *Rules* and *Regulations for Assessment of Administrative Penalties*, as amended, and must be paid to the DEM within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this Notice of Violation ("NOV"). Payment shall be in the form of a certified check, cashiers check or money order made payable to the "General Treasury Water & Air Protection Program Account," and shall be forwarded to the DEM Office of Compliance and Inspection, 235 Promenade Street, Suite 220, Providence, Rhode Island 02908-5767.
- (3) Penalties assessed against the Respondent in this NOV are penalties payable to and for the benefit of the State of Rhode Island and are not compensation for actual pecuniary loss.

E. Right to Administrative Hearing

(1) Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Chapters 42-17.1, 42-17.6, 42-17.7 and 42-35, each named respondent is entitled to request a hearing before the DEM Administrative Adjudication Division regarding the allegations, orders and/or penalties set forth in Sections B through D above. All requests for hearing MUST:

- (a) Be in writing. <u>See</u> R.I. Gen. Laws Sections 42-17.1-2(21)(i) and 42-17.6-4(b);
- (b) Be **RECEIVED** by DEM's Administrative Adjudication Division, at the following address, within twenty (20) days of your receipt of this NOV. See R.I. Gen. Laws Sections 42-17.1-2(21)(i) and 42-17.7-9:

Administrative Clerk
DEM - Administrative Adjudication Division
One Capitol Hill, 2ND Floor
Providence, RI 02903

- (c) Indicate whether you deny the alleged violations and/or whether you believe that the administrative penalty is excessive. See R.I. Gen. Laws Section 42-17.6-4(b); AND
- (d) State clearly and concisely the specific issues which are in dispute, the facts in support thereof and the relief sought or involved, if any. See Rule 7.00(b) of the DEM Administrative Rules of Practice and Procedure for the Administrative Adjudication Division of Environmental Matters.
- (2) A copy of each request for hearing must also be forwarded to:

Marisa Desautel, Esquire DEM - Office of Legal Services 235 Promenade Street, 4TH Floor Providence, RI 02908-5767

- (3) Each named respondent has the right to be represented by legal counsel at all administrative proceedings relating to this matter.
- (4) Each respondent must file a separate and timely request for an administrative hearing before DEM's Administrative Adjudication Division as to each violation alleged in the written NOV. If any respondent fails to request a hearing in the above-described time or manner with regard to any violation set forth herein, then this NOV shall automatically become a Final Compliance Order enforceable in Superior Court as to that respondent and/or violation and any associated administrative penalty proposed in the NOV shall be final as to that respondent. See R.I. Gen. Laws Sections 42-17.1-2(21)(i) and (v) and 42-17.6-4(b) and (c).
- (5) Failure to comply with this NOV may subject each respondent to additional civil and/or criminal penalties.
- (6) This NOV does not preclude the Director from taking any additional enforcement action nor does it preclude any other local, state, or federal governmental entities from initiating enforcement actions based on the acts or omissions described herein.

If you have any legal questions, you may contact (or if you are represented by an attorney, please have your attorney contact) Marisa Desautel, Esq. at the DEM Office of Legal Services at (401) 222-6607. All other inquiries should be directed to Martha Mulcahey of DEM's Office of Compliance and Inspection at (401) 222-1360 ext. 7032.

Please be advised that any such inquiries do not postpone, eliminate, or otherwise extend the need for a timely submittal of a written request for a hearing, as described in Section F above.

	FOR THE DIRECTOR
	David E. Chopy, Chief DEM Office of Compliance and Inspection
	Date:
<u>CE</u>	RTIFICATION
I hereby certify that on thethe within Notice of Violation was forward	day ofded to:
c/o Walte 21 Dryde	and Power Company or E. Edge, Jr., Registered Agent n Lane ce, RI 02904
by Certified Mail.	



ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY SUMMARY

Program: OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE AND INSPECTION, AIR

File No.: AIR 13 – 08

Respondent: Block Island Power Company

GRAVITY OF VIOLATION SEE ATTACHED "PENALTY MATRIX WORKSHEETS."							
VIOLATION No. & CITATION	APPLICATION OF MATRIX		PENALTY CALCULATION		AMOUNT		
	Туре	Deviation	Penalty from Matrix	Number or Duration of Violations			
C (1) – Failure to meet emission limits as required by permit	Type I (\$ 10,000 Max. Penalty)*	Moderate	\$ 2,500	1 violation	\$2,500		
	\$2,500.00						

^{*}Maximum Penalties represent the maximum penalty amounts per day, per violation.

ECONOMIC BENEFIT FROM NONCOMPLIANCE

COSTS OF COMPLIANCE, EQUIPMENT, O&M, STUDIES OR OTHER DELAYED OR AVOIDED COSTS, INCLUDING INTEREST AND/OR ANY COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE DERIVED OVER ENTITIES THAT ARE IN COMPLIANCE. NOTE: ECONOMIC BENEFIT MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE PENALTY UNLESS:

- THERE IS NO IDENTIFIABLE BENEFIT FROM NONCOMPLIANCE; OR
- THE AMOUNT OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT CAN NOT BE QUANTIFIED.

A review of the record in this matter has revealed that the Respondent has either enjoyed no identifiable benefit from the noncompliance alleged in this enforcement action or that the amount of economic benefit that may have resulted can not be quantified.

COST RECOVERY

ADDITIONAL OR EXTRAORDINARY COSTS INCURRED BY THE DIRECTOR DURING THE INVESTIGATION, ENFORCEMENT AND RESOLUTION OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION (EXCLUDING NON-OVERTIME PERSONNEL COSTS), FOR WHICH THE STATE IS NOT OTHERWISE REIMBURSED.

A review of the record in this matter has revealed that the DEM has not incurred any additional or extraordinary costs during the investigation, enforcement and resolution of this enforcement action (excluding non-overtime personnel costs), for which the State is not otherwise reimbursed.

TOTAL PENALTY PROPOSED UNDER PENALTY REGULATIONS = \$ 2,500.00

PENALTY MATRIX WORKSHEET

CITATION: Failure to meet emission limits as required by the permit

VIOLATION NO.: C (1)

TYPE

X TYPE I

<u>DIRECTLY</u> related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.

TYPE II

INDIRECTLY related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.

TYPE III

<u>INCIDENTAL</u> to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.

DEVIATION FROM THE STANDARD

THE DEGREE TO WHICH A PARTICULAR VIOLATION IS OUT OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENT VIOLATED.

FACTORS CONSIDERED:

Taken from Section 10 (a) (2) of the DEM Rules and Regulations for Assessment of Administrative Penalties

- (A) The extent to which the act or failure to act was out of compliance: The Respondent failed to meet emission limits as required by the Permits. The Respondent is a stationary source of air pollutants subject to federal and state air pollution control regulations. Compliance with emission limits contained in permits is of major importance to the regulatory program
- (B) **Environmental conditions:** Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (C) Amount of the pollutant: Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (D) **Toxicity or nature of the pollutant:** Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (E) **Duration of the violation:** 11 years.
- (F) Areal extent of the violation: Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.

(continued)

(continued from the previous page)

- (G) Whether the person took reasonable and appropriate steps to prevent and/or mitigate the noncompliance: The Respondent failed to take reasonable and appropriate steps to prevent the noncompliance. Over the course of 11 years, the Respondent attempted to address RIDEM concerns. The Respondent mitigated the violation by demonstrating compliance with the emission limits required by the Permits in 2012.
- (H) Whether the person has previously failed to comply with any regulations, order, statute, license, permit or approval issued or adopted by the Department, or any law which the Department has the authority or responsibility to enforce: Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (I) The degree of willfulness or negligence, including but not limited to, how much control the violator had over the occurrence of the violation and whether the violation was foreseeable: The Respondent had complete control over the violation since the Respondent is the operator of the facility. The violation was foreseeable by the Respondent.
- (J) Any other factor(s) that may be relevant in determining the amount of a penalty: Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.

MAJOR X MODERATE	MINOR
------------------	-------

Penalty Matrix where the applicable statute provides for a civil penalty up to \$ 10,000		TYPE I	TYPE II	TYPE III
DEVIATION FROM STANDARD	MAJOR	\$5,000 to \$10,000	\$2,500 to \$5,000	\$1,000 to \$2,500
	MODERATE	\$2,500 to \$5,000 \$2,500	\$1,000 to \$2,500	\$500 to \$1,000
	MINOR	\$1,000 to \$2,500	\$500 to \$1,000	\$100 to \$500