STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE & INSPECTION

IN RE: Chimera Inc. FILE NO.: CI09-0089

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

A. Introduction

Pursuant to Sections 42-17.1-2(21) and 42-17.6-3 of the Rhode Island General Laws, as amended, ("R.I. Gen. Laws") you are hereby notified that the Director of the Department of Environmental Management (the "Director" of "DEM") has reasonable grounds to believe that the above-named party ("Respondent") has violated certain statutes and/or administrative regulations under DEM's jurisdiction.

B. Facts

- (1) The subject property is a mobile home park located off of Tourtellot Hill Road in the town of Glocester, Assessor's Plat 14, Lots 44 and 77 (the "Property").
- (2) The Property is owned by Chimera Inc.
- (3) An onsite wastewater treatment system ("OWTS") is located on the Property that consists of one leach field (located adjacent to the mobile home at 5 Diamond Hill Road) and at least nine septic tanks, with one tank located at each mobile home (the "community OWTS").
- (4) A separate OWTS is located on the Property that serves the mobile home located at 32 Everson Drive (the "Everson Drive OWTS").
- (5) DEM inspected the Property on October 5, 2009. The inspection revealed that the community OWTS has failed and sewage was discharged from the community OWTS to the surface of the ground as evidenced by:
 - (a) Observation of lush green vegetation and ponding or breakout of fluids on the surface of the ground in the area around the leach field of the community OWTS.
 - (b) Detection of odors associated with sewage in the area around the leach field of the community OWTS.
 - (c) Photographs showing lush green vegetation and ponding or breakout of fluids on the surface of the ground in the area around the leach field of the community OWTS.

- (6) DEM inspected the Property on April 20, 2010. The inspection revealed that the community OWTS has failed and sewage was discharged from the community OWTS to the surface of the ground as evidenced by:
 - (a) Observation of lush green vegetation, black soil, and ponding or breakout of fluids on the surface of the ground in the area around the leach field of the community OWTS.
 - (b) Detection of odors associated with sewage in the area around the leach field of the community OWTS.
 - (c) Photographs showing ponding or breakout of fluids on the surface of the ground in the area around the leach field of the community OWTS.
 - (d) Observation of a hole in the pipe that conveys sewage from the septic tank that serves the mobile home at 14 Davis Drive and ponding or breakout of fluids on the surface of the ground in the area around the tank.
 - (e) Photographs showing a hole in the pipe that conveys sewage from the septic tank that serves the mobile home at 14 Davis Drive and ponding or breakout of fluids on the surface of the ground in the area around the tank.
- (7) DEM inspected the Property on April 27, 2010. The inspection revealed that an OWTS for laundry wastewater located at 5 Davis Drive (the "laundry OWTS") has failed as evidenced by:
 - (a) Observation that the laundry OWTS had collapsed.
 - (b) Photograph of the collapsed laundry OWTS.
- (8) DEM inspected the Property on April 30, 2010. The inspection revealed that the Everson Drive OWTS has failed as evidenced by:
 - (a) Observation of the level of sewage in the septic tank for the OWTS showing that the inlet pipe was submerged.
 - (b) A photograph showing the level of sewage in the tank.
- (9) On November 5, 2009 and May 12, 2010, DEM issued a Notice of Intent to Enforce ("NIE") to the Respondent for the violations pertaining to the community OWTS. The NIEs required the Respondent to pump the septic tank for the community OWTS as needed to prevent overflows to the ground surface, have the community OWTS evaluated by a licensed OWTS designer to determine the cause of the failure, and repair the community OWTS, if necessary.
- (10) On May 12, 2010 DEM issued an NIE to the Respondent for the violation pertaining to the laundry OWTS. The NIE required the Respondent to cease the

discharge of laundry waste and to submit a plan to DEM for the permanent solution to the violation which was to include one of the following actions:

- (a) permanent removal of the washing machine; or
- (b) connection of the laundry wastewater discharge to the community OWTS; or
- (c) installation of a new OWTS through submission of an application to the DEM Office of Water Resources.
- (11) On May 28, 2010 DEM issued an NIE to the Respondent for the violation pertaining to the Everson Drive OWTS. The NIE required the Respondent to pump the septic tanks for the Everson Drive OWTS as needed to prevent overflows to the ground surface, have the OWTS evaluated by a licensed OWTS designer to determine the cause of the failure, and repair the OWTS, if necessary.
- (12) As of the date of this Notice of Violation ("NOV"), the Respondent has failed to comply with the NIEs.

C. Violation

Based on the foregoing facts, the Director has reasonable grounds to believe that you have violated the following statutes and/or regulations:

- (1) DEM Rules Establishing Minimum Standards Relating to Location, Design, Construction and Maintenance of Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems
 - (a) **Rule 8.5** prohibiting the use of a failed OWTS except in accordance with the requirements of an enforcement notice or order issued by the Director.
 - (b) **Rule 8.8** prohibiting the discharge of wastewater to the surface of the ground.
 - (c) **Rule 17.7** requiring submittal of a repair application for a failed OWTS.

D. Order

Based upon the violations alleged above and pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Section 42-17.1-2(21), you are hereby ORDERED to:

(1) **Immediately** take steps to reduce the discharge of sewage to the community OWTS and the Everson Drive OWTS, such as through the installation and use of water conservation devices and fixtures, and arrange to have the septic tanks for the community OWTS and the Everson Drive OWTS pumped by a permitted septage transporter as frequently as necessary to prevent the systems from

overflowing or breaking out onto the surface of the ground. A list of permitted septage transporters can be obtained by contacting the DEM Office of Water Resources - OWTS Permitting at 222-6820 or from the DEM web page at: www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/waste/transpor/septage.pdf.

- (2) **Immediately** cease the discharge of laundry waste from the mobile home located at 5 Davis Drive.
- (3) Within thirty days of receipt of this NOV, submit a written proposal for a permanent solution to the violations, that must include an inspection of the community OWTS and the Everson Drive OWTS by a licensed OWTS designer to determine the cause of each failure (the "system assessment"). The system assessment must be signed by the licensed designer who inspected the systems, must identify the size and location of the system components, must set forth the probable causes for the failures, and propose a plan, including a proposed timetable, for any repair work for the correction of the failures. The system assessment must include a proposal for a permanent solution to the laundry OWTS violation. For any proposed repairs or alterations to the systems, the system assessment must include the submittal of a formal application and plan to the DEM Office of Water Resources - OWTS Permitting in accordance with the DEM Rules Establishing Minimum Standards Relating to Location, Design, Construction and Maintenance of Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems (the "Applications"). Any repairs or modifications to the systems require the prior approval of DEM. The list of licensed OWTS designers can be obtained by contacting Deb Knauss at 222-4700, Ext. 7612 or from the DEM web page at: www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/licenses/OWTS/pdfs/deslist.pdf.
- (4) The Applications shall be subject to DEM review and approval. Upon review, the DEM shall provide written notification either granting formal approval or stating the deficiencies therein. Within fourteen days (unless a longer time is specified) of receiving a notification of deficiencies in the Applications, you must submit to the DEM modified proposals or additional information necessary to correct the deficiencies.
- (5) Commence work on the projects in accordance with the method approved by the Director within twenty days of approval (unless otherwise expressly authorized by the Director in writing to commence work at a later time), and complete such work within one hundred twenty days of said approval or other date specified by the Director.

E. Penalty

(1) Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Section 42-17.6-2, the following administrative penalty, as more specifically described in the attached penalty summary and worksheets, is hereby ASSESSED, jointly and severally, against each named respondent:

Three Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$3,600.00)

- (2) The proposed administrative penalty is calculated pursuant to the DEM Rules and Regulations for Assessment of Administrative Penalties, as amended, and must be paid to the Director within twenty days of your receipt of this NOV. Payment shall be in the form of a certified check or money order made payable to the "General Treasury Water & Air Protection Program Account," and shall be forwarded to the DEM Office of Compliance and Inspection, 235 Promenade Street, Suite 220, Providence, Rhode Island 02908-5767.
- (3) Penalties assessed against the respondent in this NOV are penalties payable to and for the benefit of the State of Rhode Island and are not compensation for actual pecuniary loss.
- (4) If any violation alleged herein shall continue, then each day during which the violation occurs or continues shall constitute a separate offense and the penalties and/or costs for that violation shall continue to accrue in the manner set forth in the attached penalty summary and worksheets. The accrual of additional penalties and costs shall be suspended if the Director determines that reasonable efforts have been made to comply promptly with this NOV.

F. Right to Administrative Hearing

- (1) Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Chapters 42-17.1, 42-17.6, 42-17.7 and 42-35, each named respondent is entitled to request a hearing before the DEM Administrative Adjudication Division regarding the allegations, orders and/or penalties set forth in Sections B through E above. All requests for hearing MUST:
 - (a) Be in writing. <u>See</u> R.I. Gen. Laws Sections 42-17.1-2(21)(i) and 42-17.6-4(b);

(b) Be **RECEIVED** by DEM's Administrative Adjudication Division, at the following address, within twenty days of your receipt of this NOV. <u>See</u> R.I. Gen. Laws Sections 42-17.1-2(21)(i) and 42-17.7-9:

Administrative Clerk DEM - Administrative Adjudication Division 235 Promenade Street, 3RD Floor Providence, RI 02908-5767

- (c) Indicate whether you deny the alleged violations and/or whether you believe that the administrative penalty is excessive. See R.I. Gen. Laws Section 42-17.6-4(b); **AND**
- (d) State clearly and concisely the specific issues which are in dispute, the facts in support thereof and the relief sought or involved, if any. See Rule 7.00(b) of the DEM Administrative Rules of Practice and Procedure for the Administrative Adjudication Division of Environmental Matters.
- (2) A copy of each request for hearing must also be forwarded to:

Richard M. Bianculli, Jr., Esquire DEM - Office of Legal Services 235 Promenade Street, 4TH Floor Providence, RI 02908-5767

- (3) Each named respondent has the right to be represented by legal counsel at all administrative proceedings relating to this matter.
- (4) Each respondent must file a separate and timely request for an administrative hearing before DEM's Administrative Adjudication Division as to each violation alleged in the written NOV. If any respondent fails to request a hearing in the above-described time or manner with regard to any violation set forth herein, then this NOV shall automatically become a Final Compliance Order enforceable in Superior Court as to that respondent and/or violation and any associated administrative penalty proposed in the NOV shall be final as to that respondent. See R.I. Gen. Laws Sections 42-17.1-2(21)(i) and (v) and 42-17.6-4(b) and (c).
- (5) Failure to comply with this NOV may subject each respondent to additional civil and/or criminal penalties.
- (6) An original signed copy of this NOV is being forwarded to the town of Glocester wherein the Property is located to be recorded in the Office of Land Evidence Records pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Chapter 34-13 and 42-17.1-2(13), as amended.
- (7) This NOV does not preclude the Director from taking any additional enforcement action nor does it preclude any other local, state, or federal governmental entities from initiating enforcement actions based on the acts or omissions described herein.

If you have any legal questions, you may contact (or if you are represented by an attorney, please have your attorney contact) Richard M. Bianculli, Jr. at the DEM Office

of Legal Services at (401) 222-6607. All other inquiries should be directed to Craig Mac Laughlin of the DEM Office of Compliance and Inspection at (401) 222-1360 ext. 7117.

Please be advised that any such inquiries do not postpone, eliminate, or otherwise extend the need for a timely submittal of a written request for a hearing, as described in Section F above.

i doore.	
	FOR THE DIRECTOR
	David E. Chopy, Chief
	DEM Office of Compliance and Inspection
	2 21.2 0.11.0 0.2 00.11.p.1.11.10 11.10 11.10 p.001.01.
	Date:
<u>CER'</u>	<u> </u>
I haraby contify that on the	dov.of
the within Notice of Violation was forwarded	_ day of
the within Notice of Violation was forwarded	
Chimera Inc	
	E. Lecours, Registered Agent
1390 Mend	
Cumberiano	l, RI 02864
by Certified Mail.	
-	



ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY SUMMARY

OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE AND INSPECTION, OWTS Program:

File No.: C109-0089 Respondent: Chimera Inc.

GRAVITY OF VIOLATION

SEE ATTACHED "PENALTY MATRIX WORKSHEETS."					
VIOLATION No.	APPLICATION C	F MATRIX	PENALTY	PENALTY CALCULATION	
& CITATION	Туре	Deviation	Penalty from Matrix	Number or Duration of Violations	AMOUNT
C(1)(a) & C(1)(c)— Use of a failed septic system and failure to submit an application for a failed septic system Community OWTS	Type I (\$1,000 Max. Penalty)*	Major	\$800	1	\$800
C(1)(c) - failure to submit an application for a failed septic system Laundry OWTS and Everson Drive OWTS	Type I (\$1,000 Max. Penalty)*	Minor	\$400	2	\$800
C(1)(b) – Discharge of sewage to the surface of the ground – Community OWTS	Type I (\$1,000 Max. Penalty)*	Major	\$800	2	\$1,600
	SUB-TOTAL \$3,200.00				

^{*}Maximum Penalties represent the maximum penalty amounts per day, per violation.

ECONOMIC BENEFIT FROM NONCOMPLIANCE

COSTS OF COMPLIANCE, EQUIPMENT, O&M, STUDIES OR OTHER DELAYED OR AVOIDED COSTS, INCLUDING INTEREST AND/OR ANY

COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE DERIVED OVER ENTITIES THAT ARE IN COMPLIANCE. NOTE: ECONOMIC BENEFIT MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE PENALTY UNLESS:

- THERE IS NO IDENTIFIABLE BENEFIT FROM NONCOMPLIANCE; OR
- THE AMOUNT OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT CAN NOT BE QUANTIFIED.

DESCRIPTION OF BENEFIT	CALCULATION	AMOUNT
Cost of septic system pumping	2 occurrences @ \$200 each pumping	\$400.00
	SUB-TOTAL	\$400.00

TOTAL PENALTY PROPOSED UNDER PENALTY REGULATIONS = \$3,600.00

PENALTY MATRIX WORKSHEET

CITATION: Use of a failed septic system and failure to submit an application for a failed septic

system - Community OWTS

VIOLATION NO.: C(1)(a) & C(1)(c)

TYPE			
X TYPE I DIRECTLY related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	TYPE II INDIRECTLY related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	TYPE III INCIDENTAL to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	

DEVIATION FROM THE STANDARD

THE DEGREE TO WHICH A PARTICULAR VIOLATION IS OUT OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENT VIOLATED.

FACTORS CONSIDERED:

Taken from Section 10 (a) (2) of the DEM Rules and Regulations for Assessment of Administrative Penalties

- (A) The extent to which the act or failure to act was out of compliance: The Respondent failed to submit a repair application and continued to use the failed community OWTS. The repair of failed septic systems is a major objective of the regulatory program.
- (B) **Environmental conditions:** The failed septic system serves at least nine mobile homes and is located in a moderately populated mobile home park.
- (C) Amount of the pollutant: Not relevant.
- (D) Toxicity or nature of the pollutant: Not relevant.
- (E) Duration of the violation: The total duration of the violation is unknown, but is at least 11 months.
- (F) Areal extent of the violation: Not relevant.
- (G) Whether the person took reasonable and appropriate steps to prevent and/or mitigate the noncompliance: The Respondent failed to retain a licensed designer to evaluate the system and prepare and submit a repair application despite receiving two notices from DEM on November 5, 2009 and May 12, 2010 requiring that it do so.
- (H) Whether the person has previously failed to comply with any regulations, order, statute, license, permit or approval issued or adopted by the Department, or any law which the Department has the authority or responsibility to enforce: Not relevant.
- (I) The degree of willfulness or negligence, including but not limited to, how much control the violator had over the occurrence of the violation and whether the violation was foreseeable: The Respondent had full control over the violation. The Respondent is fully aware of the necessary actions to correct the violation.
- (J) Any other factor(s) that may be relevant in determining the amount of a penalty: The notices issued on November 5, 2009 and May 12, 2010 stated the penalty could be up to \$1,000.00 per day for each violation.

X MAJOR	MODERATE	MINOR

applicable s	Matrix where the statute provides for alty up to \$1,000	TYPE I	TYPE II	TYPE III
DEVIATION	MAJOR	\$800 to \$1,000 \$800	\$600 to \$800	\$400 to \$600
FROM	MODERATE	\$600 to \$800	\$400 to \$600	\$200 to \$400
STANDARD	MINOR	\$400 to \$600	\$200 to \$400	\$100 to \$200

PENALTY MATRIX WORKSHEET

CITATION: Failure to submit an application for a failed septic system - Laundry OWTS and

Everson Drive OWTS

VIOLATION NO.: C(1)(c)

	TYPE	
X TYPE I DIRECTLY related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	TYPE II INDIRECTLY related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	TYPE III INCIDENTAL to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.

DEVIATION FROM THE STANDARD

THE DEGREE TO WHICH A PARTICULAR VIOLATION IS OUT OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENT VIOLATED.

FACTORS CONSIDERED:

Taken from Section 10 (a) (2) of the DEM Rules and Regulations for Assessment of Administrative Penalties

- (A) The extent to which the act or failure to act was out of compliance: The Respondent failed to submit repair applications for two failed OWTSs. The repair of failed septic systems is a major objective of the regulatory program.
- (B) **Environmental conditions:** The failed septic systems serve two mobile homes and are located within a moderately populated mobile home park.
- (C) Amount of the pollutant: Not relevant.
- (D) Toxicity or nature of the pollutant: Not relevant.
- (E) **Duration of the violation:** The total duration of the violations are unknown, but are at least 4 months.
- (F) Areal extent of the violation: Not relevant.
- (G) Whether the person took reasonable and appropriate steps to prevent and/or mitigate the noncompliance: The Respondent failed to retain a licensed designer to evaluate the systems and prepare and submit repair applications despite receiving notices from DEM on May 12, 2010 and May 28, 2010 requiring that it do so.
- (H) Whether the person has previously failed to comply with any regulations, order, statute, license, permit or approval issued or adopted by the Department, or any law which the Department has the authority or responsibility to enforce: Not relevant.
- (I) The degree of willfulness or negligence, including but not limited to, how much control the violator had over the occurrence of the violation and whether the violation was foreseeable: The Respondent had full control over the violations. The Respondent is fully aware of the necessary actions to correct the violations.
- (J) Any other factor(s) that may be relevant in determining the amount of a penalty: The notices issued on May 12, 2010 and May 28, 2010 stated the penalty could be up to \$1,000.00 per day for each violation.

MAJOR	MODERATE	X MINOR

applicable s	Matrix where the statute provides for alty up to \$1,000	TYPE I	TYPE II	TYPE III
DEVIATION	MAJOR	\$800 to \$1,000	\$600 to \$800	\$400 to \$600
FROM	MODERATE	\$600 to \$800	\$400 to \$600	\$200 to \$400
STANDARD	MINOR	\$400 to \$600 \$400	\$200 to \$400	\$100 to \$200

PENALTY MATRIX WORKSHEET

CITATION: Discharge of sewage to the surface of the ground – **Community OWTS**

VIOLATION NO.: C(1)(b)

TYPE			
X TYPE I DIRECTLY related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	TYPE II INDIRECTLY related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	TYPE III INCIDENTAL to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	

DEVIATION FROM THE STANDARD

THE DEGREE TO WHICH A PARTICULAR VIOLATION IS OUT OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENT VIOLATED.

FACTORS CONSIDERED:

Taken from Section 10 (a) (2) of the DEM Rules and Regulations for Assessment of Administrative Penalties

- (A) The extent to which the act or failure to act was out of compliance: Sewage was discharged to the ground surface from a failed OWTS. Preventing the discharge of sewage to the surface of the ground is a major objective of the regulatory program.
- (B) **Environmental conditions:** The failed OWTS serves at least nine mobile homes and is located within a moderately populated mobile home park.
- (C) Amount of the pollutant: The volume of the sewage overflow is unknown.
- (D) **Toxicity or nature of the pollutant:** Sewage contains many kinds of bacteria, viruses, and parasites that can cause serious or fatal diseases in both humans and animals, as well as being the source of extremely objectionable odors.
- (E) **Duration of the violation:** The total duration of the discharge is unknown. Evidence of the violation was observed on October 5, 2009 and April 20, 2010.
- (F) Areal extent of the violation: Unknown.
- (G) Whether the person took reasonable and appropriate steps to prevent and/or mitigate the noncompliance: The Respondent failed to have the system pumped as necessary to prevent discharge to the ground surface despite receiving a notice from DEM on November 5, 2009 requiring that it do so.
- (H) Whether the person has previously failed to comply with any regulations, order, statute, license, permit or approval issued or adopted by the Department, or any law which the Department has the authority or responsibility to enforce: Not relevant.
- (I) The degree of willfulness or negligence, including but not limited to, how much control the violator had over the occurrence of the violation and whether the violation was foreseeable: The Respondent had full control over the violation. The violation was foreseeable.
- (J) Any other factor(s) that may be relevant in determining the amount of a penalty: The notices issued on November 5, 2009 and May 12, 2010 stated the penalty could be up to \$1,000.00 per violation.

X MAJOR	MODERATE	MINOR

applicable s	Matrix where the statute provides for alty up to \$1,000	TYPE I	TYPE II	TYPE III
DEVIATION	MAJOR	\$800 to \$1,000 \$800	\$600 to \$800	\$400 to \$600
FROM	MODERATE	\$600 to \$800	\$400 to \$600	\$200 to \$400
STANDARD	MINOR	\$400 to \$600	\$200 to \$400	\$100 to \$200