Good Afternoon All,

I was just going over some numbers on summer flounder and there are a few interesting facts to consider.

The MA landings were down 22,000 fish last year or about 28% and RI landings were down 72,000 fish or approximately 44%. That means RI and Mass were down by 94,000 fish.

CT was up 134% with an increase of 126,000 fish, NY was up 238,000 fish or 48% and NJ was up 294,000 fish or 61%. That is an increase of 658,000 fish over the past year.

There just doesn't seem to be any logic in MA and RI going along with option 5 at all. MA seems to be willing to go up and inch but not lowering their bag limit. Early reports are NJ is also going to do something different.

What that means is at a period of time when two states caught 94,000 FEWER fish three states caught 658,000 MORE. The move to cut the bag limit is not based on any science. The other states say they are disadvantage because we have a higher bag limit. If that is the case why have their landings gone up and ours gone down? They also state there is chaos on the border between the states. MA and RI have a 2 inch size difference and a different bag limit. The argument does not hold water.

I urge the State of RI to do EVERYTHING that it can to keep the theft of the summer flounder and the mistreatment of RI fisherman from happening. If MA, NJ and RI all stand firm we have a chance. I don't believe any judge, if it gets that far, would find RI or MA out of compliance with the plan if we take a larger percent reduction then the three states that went over by 658,000 fish. <u>RI should go up one inch and if needed shorten our season by 18 days in May. We should not cut our bag limit just because other states think the optics of it look on fair.</u>

NY doesn't think it is on fair when in the fall they have a 10 fish bag limit on Sea Bass. Ct didn't seem to have a problem with an 8 fish bag limit on the for hire sector all year when RI had a 3 fish limit for half of the season and then a 7 fish limit in the fall. The other two states agreed to have a regional approach with RI last year, they didn't not in fact do so in reality. Ct went over in Sea bass by 151,000 fish. If the coast needs and 8 % reduction for 2017 Ct is a good place to look for it.

AMSMC and the MAFMC went with the regional approach a couple of years back. What it has done is just reallocate the fish. The other states have been supplementing NY for a couple of years. What did NY do in return? NY increased its bag limit and will not cut its season! If NY can keep the same length of its season does anyone think that they will not go over again?

Frank Blount

Hi Pete,

Here is what I recall saying on the record at the Public Hearing.

<u>Fluke:</u> May 19th to December 31st 19 Inches and 6 fish per person. I am adamantly opposed to the ASMFC Option.

Tautog: Option 2 Opening on October 15th as opposed to the 3rd Saturday in October.

<u>Black Sea Bass</u>: June 14th to September 30th 3 fish per person; and October 15th to December 31st 7 fish per person.

My intent on the Black Sea Bass is to limit the fall closure to 2 weeks. Then take whatever conservation we have left after the 8% reduction and open as many days as possible in June. I think Jason will need to figure out the exact day, I picked June 14th. I would like to try to get the Black Sea Bass opening and the Tautog opening to correspond so we call sell those trips.

Thanks, Rick

Capt. Rick Bellavance, President RI Party and Charter Boat Association 401-741-5648 <u>www.rifishing.com</u> Hi Jason,

Just getting back to you regarding the workshop for Bluefish. After speaking with Nicole, it seems like option 2 is best. Then making adjustments like last year as the season progresses. It is very important to be PRO active as we were for the most part last year. I do appreciate being able to continue to work together.

Thanks Dean Pasante Hi Jason, Couldn't make the public hearing this past Monday. I'm assuming option 2 or 3 was most popular for Bluefish. If we could come to a compromise on the minimum size, 15 inches, maybe that would satisfy the traps. I'm friends with those guys and understand their concern, but I think its best to let ALL fish reproduce before harvesting them. Let me know what you think.

Thanks, Dean Pesante Hello,

My name is Kenneth T Murgo and I am a commercial fisherman from Bristol, RI (Multipurpose License # 001365). I wanted to comment on the pubic hearing item 1C Commercial Tautog. I support the status quo option on commercial Tautog management. The even distribution of quota between the three sub periods allows me to harvest tautog regularly in the Spring and Summer sub periods, which is an important part of my income. As tautog are one of the first fish to arrive in RI waters in the spring, the spring sub period is very important to my livelihood as it is one of the first things I can fish for after a long winter with little income. Reducing the quota in the spring sub period when there are no other fish available would be a hardship to my, and other fisherman's businesses. There is no advantage to allocating more quota to the fall sub period as proposed in option 2. During the fall there are numerous other species of fish, shellfish, and crustacean available for harvest, so more tautog quota is not needed during this time. Therefore, I feel that the status quo regarding Commercial Tautog management is in the best interest of RI fisherman.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Kenneth T Murgo

Rhode Island Fishermen's Alliance

P.O. Box 337 East Greenwich, RI 02818

February 1, 2017

RI Marine Fisheries Public Hearing for proposed amendments for the 2017 **Commercial Tautog** fisheries management plan.

The Alliance proposes the following changes:

7.9.2 Commercial:

(A) Minimum size: Sixteen (16) inches.

(B) <u>Seasons, allocations, and possession limit</u>: <u>The commercial harvest shall be the same</u> <u>seasons and the same bag limit as the recreational fishery.</u>

(B) Seasons, allocations, and possession limit: The total allowable harvest of tautog will be established annually, and will be that amount allocated to the State of Rhode Island by the Regional Fishery Management Council and/or the ASMFC. The quota shall only be available during the following seasons:

(1) Spring sub-period (April 15 – May 31): (a) Allocation: 1/3 of the annual quota. (b) Possession limit: Ten (10) fish per vessel per day.RI Marine Fisheries Regulations Part VII – Finfish Page 15 of 40

(2) Summer sub-period (August 1 – September 15): (a) Allocation: 1/3 of the annual quota. (b) Possession limit: Ten (10) fish per vessel per day.

(3) Fall sub-period (October 15 December 31): (a) Allocation: 1/3 of the annual quota (b) Possession limit: Ten (10) fish per vessel per day.

(4) Sub-period quotas may be harvested until exhausted. Any quota not harvested during a sub-period will be added to the next sub-period. If an over-harvest has occurred, that over-harvest will be deducted equally from all remaining sub-periods in the same calendar year. If an over-harvest has occurred for the entire year, that over-harvest will be deducted from the Summer sub-period of the following calendar year.

Note:

- 1. There are around one million residents in the state and around 31,000 salt water licensed residents or approximately three percent (3%) of the resident population.
- 2. The RI recreational fishery currently harvests over 250,000 lbs of tautog annually while the commercial fishery is limited to less than 50,000 lbs.

- 3. RI non-fishing residents representing 97% of the population have less than 20% of the available resource accessible to them.
- 4. Active resident recreational fishermen representing 3% of the population and non-resident fishermen take more than 80% of the resource.
- 5. More than half of the recreational salt water anglers fishing in RI waters are non-residents.
- 6. Non-resident recreational fishermen take many more times as much of the Tautog resource as is made available to the non-fishing RI resident.
- 7. Recreational fishermen have access to the tautog resource for many more days out of the year while non-fishing residents dependent upon commercial fishermen have access for a reduced number of days.

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO SUPPORTED US LAST YEAR

PROPOSAL FOR 2017:

SPRING SEASON OPENING MAY 15, (CURRRENTLY MAY 29) **80%** OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 70%)

FALL SEASON OPENING SEPT. 3, (CURRENTLY SEPT. 8) **20%** OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 30%)

DICK LEFEBURE Bichard A. Litch 5000315 TBD WHERLER Edun HANN 200050

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO SUPPORTED US LAST YEAR

PROPOSAL FOR 2017:

18

on BACK TOE!

SPRING SEASON OPENING MAY 15, (CURRRENTLY MAY 29) **80%** OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 70%)

FALL SEASON OPENING SEPT. 3, (CURRENTLY SEPT. 8) 20% OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 30%)

Please sign below If you wish to support this initiative. Thank you 52 516 **Bo** Christensen Jody King 001382 all J. Most MARTIN DONALD FRANK ROBENETS SFrank Relients 0696 489 OUSOMP RODOUT GOODMAN 00622 Interno mand 308 Ed GUGLIELMI Ed Suefulmuin DO ICE Kiliko Wer 00047 - HARVED Kaba 23m Robert L. Simmon Clarad & Varthia 305 EDWARD C VENHORA Richard B ANDREWS Riolie FOSEPH GALLUCC. LEMALS FORMER DOWLES ContCokely



THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO SUPPORTED US LAST YEAR

PROPOSAL FOR 2017:

13

SPRING SEASON OPENING MAY 15, (CURRRENTLY MAY 29) **80%** OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 70%)

FALL SEASON OPENING SEPT. 3, (CURRENTLY SEPT. 8) **20%** OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 30%)

Bo Christesen Bo Church MP 429 MArtin McGiverey Mat 35 205 MATThew MEGIVENey mtt SLawomir Hermanousk, Do RAT RATHIL JANYAM22, JOHN BONNER 1610 Adam MCGiveney 160.9 Krzysztof HenmAnowski' PIOG ME 401 \mathbf{r} MILLE MC rae Midhel Ventruph 825

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO SUPPORTED US LAST YEAR

PROPOSAL FOR 2017:

12

SPRING SEASON OPENING MAY 15, (CURRRENTLY MAY 29) **80%** OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 70%)

FALL SEASON OPENING SEPT. 3, (CURRENTLY SEPT. 8) **20%** OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 30%)

Please sign below If you wish to support this initiative. Thank you **Bo** Christensen Anistensen Bol NORM ZWOLINSK/ John Steviens T JONIATHAN L) / SON M.P. 125 MURRAY CIANCIOLO Bill Beixnett 344 Koem Myers Keith Zwolingki Greg BASSett #1647 P. WAYNE GOGNON John Mayo AMAT

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO SUPPORTED US LAST YEAR

PROPOSAL FOR 2017:

12

SPRING SEASON OPENING MAY 15, (CURRRENTLY MAY 29) 80% OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 70%)

FALL SEASON OPENING SEPT. 3, (CURRENTLY SEPT. 8) **20%** OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 30%)

Please sign below If you wish to support this initiative. Thank you MP YZUM **Bo Christensen** hnistensen MP 334 Genny scher PE 357 George Viau MP 292 Michael MonteForte Craig Abrahamson FRANK FIELD mp ood i L'EAnnele Bennet 1076 699 M.P. 124 Sient D. 02907 RAMON ESTRA

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO SUPPORTED US LAST YEAR

PROPOSAL FOR 2017:

SPRING SEASON OPENING MAY 15, (CURRRENTLY MAY 29) 80% OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 70%)

FALL SEASON OPENING SEPT. 3, (CURRENTLY SEPT. 8) 20% OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 30%)

Bo cher # Go ChaisFransen 120 Hort Berendb RUBERT C HOGAN MPURP ZOS JR Lougon Noa Joe Mª Cue 365 3029 MP' 605 SF 65 0427 20Bra 1370

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO SUPPORTED US LAST YEAR

PROPOSAL FOR 2017:

SPRING SEASON OPENING MAY 15, (CURRRENTLY MAY 29) **80%** OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 70%)

FALL SEASON OPENING SEPT. 3, (CURRENTLY SEPT. 8) 20% OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 30%)

MULT 00139 MULT- 0526 Mult: 1386 RONALD FORREST Romand Formert William Silvin Nucholas Mccumy 6-5 Mmulti 1357 Zach St. Ours DAN LOMBARDO Joe MederRos RIMP Ggg Ch fiend Richard But MAURP 703 RT 001501 CFL 906 CPL 1268

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO SUPPORTED US LAST YEAR

PROPOSAL FOR 2017:

SPRING SEASON OPENING MAY 15, (CURRRENTLY MAY 29) 80% OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 70%)

FALL SEASON OPENING SEPT. 3, (CURRENTLY SEPT. 8) 20% OF QUOTA (CURRENTLY 30%)

Mike McEkoy Unhulpthe Eling Christopher 4 Vasser Ophin

MP 0082 MP Marin-

Pete,

I believe that I first requested that RIDEM consider reverting back to the format that worked for a number of years opening on the first Sunday in June with 70% of the quota; and then the fall season after Labor Day with 30% of the quota. If not that option, then the second which calls for opening on May 28th with 60% of the quota and, if my memory is correct, I modified the opening the fall season from the posted date of 8/15 to 8/25 with 40% of the quota..

Ken Booth

(Commercial Striped Bass)

To whom it may concern,

I would like to officially comment, on record for the purposed/annotated changes to the Rhode Island commercial striped bass fishery.

As a Rhode Island multipurpose dealer and a commercial rod and reel fisherman, Narragansett Bay Lobsters, Inc. is in **support of option 2**. As a proud member of the RHODE ISLAND SEAFOOD MARKETING COLABRATIVE and a supporter keeping our seafood products local, I feel that the fishery has a greater value to all involved if we can keep the fishery open closer to the peak of our tourist season. By doing this, it will allow our area markets and restaurants to provide the local and visiting public with a highly sought after and valuable local seafood item. By opening the season in mid-May most of the quota is caught before our real season even starts. Prices to the fisherman will be higher because the demand locally is stronger. If not option 2 we would be in support of option 1 status quo. If you should have any questions I can be contacted anytime at the number below.

Tom LaFazia Sales/Purchasing Manager 401-782-9000 ext.1 <u>islandlure@yahoo.com</u>





Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management **DIVISION OF FISH AND WILDLIFE** FAX 401 423-1925 3 Fort Wetherill Road Jamestown, RI 02835

SUMMARY OF ORAL COMMENTS

401 423-1923

A public hearing was held on February 13, 2017 at 6:00 PM, URI Narragansett Bay Campus, Coastal Institute Building, Narragansett, RI. Approximately 60 persons from the public were present.

Hearing Officer: J. McNamee

DEM Staff: B. Ballou, L. Mouradjian. G. Powers, J. McNamee, S. Olszewski, D. Costa, P. Duhamel. RIMFC: D. Monti, T. Barao, B. Macintosh, A. Manca, J. Grant

Public comments were solicited on the following items:

Hearing Item 1a. - Recreational Summer Flounder:

- R. Hittinger: Would normally not be in support of an increase in minimum size, however due to effects on bag limit/season without such increase, in support of ASMFC option #1.
- S. Medeiros RISAA: In support of ASMFC option #1.
- F. Blount: In support of 19" min. size and shortening season by 18 days.
- G. Allen: In support of ASMFC option #1.
- R. Bellavance RIPCBA: In support of: minimum size 19"; Season May 19 Dec. 31; 6 fish bag limit.
- *R. Tellier: In support of ASMFC option #1.*

Hearing Item 1b. - Recreational Tautog: •

- S. Medeiros -RISAA: In support of status quo.
- G. Allen: In support of status quo.
- *R. Bellavance RIPCBA: In support of option 2.*

Hearing Item 1c. - Commercial Tautog:

- K. Booth RICRRA: In support of option 2 as a means to provide for more harvest in the fall season.
- o J. Walker: Proposed allocations of 40/20/40 respectively for the 3 sub-periods.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of option 2.
- A. Cahill: In support of option 2.
- <u>*R. Enright:*</u> In support of option 2.
- o <u>J. Macari:</u> In support of option 2.
- Public comment: In support of option 2.
- J. Martini: In support of option 2.
- Public comment (comm. Rod and reel fisherman): In support of option 2.
- Public comment (comm. Rod and reel fisherman): In support of option 2.

- <u>Public comment (comm. Rod and reel fisherman)</u>: In support of option 2.
- <u>D. Pascale</u>: In support of option 2; 2^{nd} preference of 40/20/40.
- <u>F. Pascale:</u> In support of option 2.
- <u>*M. Sherer:*</u> In support of option 2.

• <u>Hearing Item 1d. – Commercial Bluefish:</u>

- <u>A. Eagles:</u> In support of option 3.
- <u>D. Pascale:</u> In support of option 2 or 3.

• <u>Hearing Item 1e. - Recreational Scup:</u>

- o <u>S. Medeiros RISAA:</u> In support of status quo.
- o <u>F. Blount:</u> In support of status quo.

• Hearing Item 1f. - Recreational Black Sea Bass:

- <u>S. Medeiros RISAA:</u> In support of status quo; 2^{nd} preference support for option 4.
- <u>R. Bellavance RIPCBA:</u> In support of the following: June 14 Sept. 30, 3 fish bag limit; Oct. 15 – Dec. 31, 7 fish bag limit. Also in support of an exemption to allow for transiting through federal waters between Block Island and the mainland during closed federal season. Without such exemption vessels docked mainland and wishing to fish in state waters around Block Island cannot do so as are not allowed to possess or transit in federal waters, which is a significant hardship.
- <u>F. Blount:</u> In support of option 1 if reduction is required; or either option 4 or 5. Strongly in support of season being open in June.
- <u>A. Cahill:</u> In support of option 1 if reduction is required; or either option 4 or 5.
- <u>Public comment recreational fisherman</u>: In support of option 4 and earlier opening.
- <u>*R. Tellier:*</u> In support of option 1; or option 4.

• <u>Hearing Item 2a. - Recreational Striped Bass:</u>

- o <u>S. Medeiros RISAA:</u> In support of status quo.
- o <u>G. Allen:</u> In support of status quo.

• <u>Hearing Item 2b. - Commercial Striped Bass general category:</u>

- <u>*K. Booth:*</u> In support of amending 1^{st} sub-period season to open 1^{st} Sunday in June, and 2^{nd} sub-period opening of the day after Labor Day; or industry option 2.
- <u>*G. Allen:*</u> In support of proposal offered by K. Booth.
- o <u>J. Macari</u>: In support of proposal offered by K. Booth; or status quo.
- <u>B. Christensen:</u> In support of option 3.
- <u>*M. McGivney/RISA:*</u> In support of option 3.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of option 3.
- <u>*T. Walker:*</u> In support of option 3.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of option 3.
- o <u>J. Martini</u>: In support of splitting sub-period allocation 50/50; or option 1.
- <u>A. Cahill:</u> In support of proposal offered by K. Booth; or option 2.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of proposal offered by K. Booth; strongly opposed to option 3.

- <u>*R. Masciarelli:*</u> In support of option 1.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of option 1.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of option 1.
- o <u>M. Sherer:</u> In support of option 1 and opening earlier in the fall.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of option 3.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of proposal offered by K. Booth; or status quo. Strongly opposed to option 3.
- <u>*P. Chappell:*</u> In support of option 1.
- <u>D. Pascale:</u> In support of option 2; strongly opposed to option 3.
- <u>F. Pascale:</u> In support of proposal offered by K. Booth; or option 2 as the most fair.
- <u>Public comment:</u> Strongly opposed to 50/50 allocation.
- \circ <u>*R. Miner:*</u> In support of option 3; or option 1.
- <u>J. Stevens:</u> In support of option 3.

• <u>Hearing Item 2c. - Commercial Striped Bass floating fish trap:</u>

- o <u>J. Walker:</u> In support of status quo.
- <u>A. Eagles:</u> In support of option 2.
- <u>J. Grant:</u> In support of option 2.

• <u>Hearing Item 3. – Commercial Menhaden:</u>

- **Proposal 1 Amend bycatch limit as defined in ASMFC Addendum I to Amendment 2:**
 - <u>*T. Walker:*</u> In support as proposed.

• **Proposal 2 - Modify location of Fall opening for purse seining:**

- <u>S. Medeiros RISWAA:</u> Strongly opposed as proposed.
- <u>*R. Sousa:*</u> Strongly in support of proposal as a means to provide lobster bait to local lobstermen; believes that amount of fish is significant in area currently prohibited and needs to be harvested.
- <u>J. Walker:</u> In support of proposal. Believes very important that this industry maintains viability so as to provide lobster bait.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of proposal.
- <u>Public comment:</u> In support of proposal.
- <u>J. Macari:</u> In support of proposal.
- <u>A. Eagles:</u> In support of proposal.
- <u>G. Allen:</u> Opposed.
- <u>Public comment:</u> Opposed.
- <u>*R. Hittinger:</u>* Opposed. Believes area currently open is adequate.</u>
- <u>Public comment (rec. fisherman):</u> Opposed.

Hearing Item 4a. - Proposed Shellfish Management Areas:

- **Providence and Seekonk Rivers Shellfish Management Area:**
 - <u>*M. McGivney:*</u> Support as proposed.

- <u>Public comment:</u> Support as proposed.
- *Public comment (comm. shellfisherman):*

• Warren River Shellfish Management Area:

- o Jarrod Goulart: Opposed to option 1.
- <u>J. Desmond:</u> Opposed to option 1.
- <u>Public comment:</u> Opposed to option 1.
- <u>Public comment:</u> Opposed to option 1.
- John Goulart: Opposed to option 1.
- <u>L. McElroy:</u> Opposed to option 1; support for option 2.
- <u>Public comment:</u> Support for option 2.
- <u>M. McGivney:</u> Support for option 2.
- Public comment: Support for option 2.
- o <u>J. Harvey:</u> Support for option 2.
- Town Pond Shellfish Management Area:
 - Some discussion but no comments provided.
- Narrow River Shellfish Management Area:
 - Some discussion but no comments provided.
- <u>Green Hill Shellfish Management Area:</u>
 - No comments provided.
- Little Narragansett Bay/Pawcatuck River Shellfish Management Area:
 - <u>Public comment:</u> Inquired if allowed to transit through SMA from non-SMA waters while in possession of quantities greater than SMA possession limit.

• <u>Hearing Item 4b. – Proposed amendment to the Bristol Harbor Shellfish Management</u> <u>Area boundary:</u>

• <u>Public comment:</u> Boundaries should be based on GPS coordinates rather than landmarks.

Prepared by P. Duhamel