

**STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT  
OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE & INSPECTION**

**IN RE: Edward M. Carapezza**

**FILE NO.: OCI-FW-15-62**

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

A. Introduction

Pursuant to Sections 42-17.1-2(21) and 42-17.6-3 of the Rhode Island General Laws, as amended, (“R.I. Gen. Laws”) you are hereby notified that the Director of the Department of Environmental Management (the “Director” of “DEM”) has reasonable grounds to believe that the above-named party (“Respondent”) has violated certain statutes and/or administrative regulations under the DEM’s jurisdiction.

B. Administrative History

On 4 November 2015, the DEM issued a Notice of Intent to Enforce (“NIE”) to Respondent for the violations that are the subject of this Notice of Violation (“NOV”). The NIE required specific actions to correct the violations. On 2 December 2015, DEM agents met with Respondent at the property to discuss the violations and the required actions in the NIE. On 21 November 2016, the DEM sent electronic correspondence to Respondent. The correspondence advised Respondent that none of the violations had been corrected and offered Respondent an opportunity to meet with the DEM. Respondent failed to respond to the correspondence.

C. Facts

- (1) The property is located approximately 400 feet and 1,250 feet north of Woodville Road, at 336 Woodville Road and approximately 1,400 feet and 1,900 feet northwest of the intersection of Woodville Road and Tomaquag Road, Assessor’s Plat 8, Lot 36 in the Town of Hopkinton, Rhode Island (the “Property”).
- (2) Respondent owns the Property.
- (3) On 23 June 2015 and 2 December 2015, the DEM inspected the Property. The inspections revealed the following:
  - (a) Clearing, filling (in the form of at least soil material, rocks and boulders), grading, and soil disturbance to create an access road crossing within a Marsh/Swamp/Pond complex. These activities resulted in the alteration of approximately 8,500 square feet of freshwater wetland;
  - (b) Clearing, filling (in the form of at least soil material, rocks and boulders), grading, and soil disturbance to create an access road crossing within Perimeter Wetland. These activities resulted in the alteration of approximately 3,000 square feet of freshwater wetland;

- (c) Clearing, filling (in the form of at least soil material, rocks and boulders) and grading to create lawn and line shoreline within a Pond and a Swamp. These activities resulted in the alteration of approximately 3,500 square feet of freshwater wetland;
  - (d) Clearing, filling (in the form of at least soil material) and grading to create and maintain a lawn within Perimeter Wetland. These activities resulted in the alteration of approximately 14,000 square feet of freshwater wetland;
  - (e) Installing a wooden bridge with associated fill across a River and within Riverbank Wetland. These activities resulted in the alteration of 360 square feet of freshwater wetland;
  - (f) Filling, grading, soil disturbance and installation of a bridge structure within the Marsh/Swamp/Pond complex described in Fact C (3)(a) above. These activities resulted in the alteration of 500 square feet of freshwater wetland; and
  - (g) Filling, grading and creating rock walls and other features within Riverbank Wetland. These activities resulted in the alteration of at least approximately 5,000 square feet of freshwater wetland.
- (4) The activities described in Fact C (3) above were not exempt in accordance with Rule 6.00 of the DEM's *Rules and Regulations Governing the Administration and Enforcement of the Freshwater Wetlands Act* (the "Freshwater Wetlands Regulations").
  - (5) Respondent did not receive a permit from the DEM to alter the freshwater wetlands on the Property.

D. Violation

Based on the foregoing facts, the Director has reasonable grounds to believe that you have violated the following statutes and/or regulations:

- (1) **R.I. Gen. Laws Section 2-1-21** – prohibiting activities which may alter freshwater wetlands without a permit from the DEM.
- (2) **DEM's Freshwater Wetland Regulations, Rule 5.01** – prohibiting activities which may alter freshwater wetlands without a permit from the DEM.

E. Order

Based upon the violations alleged above and pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Section 42-17.1-2(21), you are hereby ORDERED to:

- (1) **IMMEDIATELY** cease and desist from any further alteration of the above described freshwater wetlands, and
- (2) Restore all freshwater wetlands in accordance with the restoration requirements set forth below.

**RESTORATION REQUIREMENTS**

- (a) Prior to the commencement of restoration activities, correctly install proper soil erosion and sediment (“SE/S”) controls, in the form of a continuous uninterrupted line of staked haybales, biodegradable filter socks (fiber logs), and/or silt fence (properly toed into native soils), between all unauthorized altered or improved areas to be restored and the adjacent undisturbed freshwater wetlands. The SE/S controls must be regularly inspected and properly and continually maintained (and replaced, if necessary) during and following the completion of the required wetland restoration activities, and until such time that all surrounding areas are properly stabilized. At the discretion and direction of the DEM, additional soil erosion and sediment controls must be installed on-site, as deemed necessary, to protect all freshwater wetlands.
- (b) At the location of the unauthorized access road and bridge crossing through the Marsh/Swamp/Pond wetland complex, remove all unauthorized fill material from the wetlands, including but not limited to, all components of the bridge, all components of any stone walls, and all soil material, rocks, and boulders. All unauthorized fill material must be removed down to an elevation that matches the adjacent undisturbed wetland grades, to elevations at which original native hydric (organic) soils are encountered. Fill removal operations must begin at the eastern-most limits of the unauthorized road/bridge and proceed in a westerly direction. Prior to initiating restoration work in this location, contact the DEM to arrange a pre-restoration site meeting to confirm that SE/S controls have been properly installed and to assure that the restoration proceeds in the proper manner and sequence. As necessary, the DEM agent may direct the installation of sandbags (or another acceptable water control/diversion methodology) in specific areas to allow the required wetland restoration work to proceed under “drier” conditions and prevent further adverse wetland impacts. **All restoration work in this location must take place during an acceptable “low flow” period (generally July 1 through October 31).** All fill material that is removed must be deposited off-site, in an appropriate upland location, outside of all freshwater wetlands.

- (c) Following the removal of all unauthorized fill material from the Marsh/Swamp/Pond complex, the affected wetland areas must be re-established and restored to the conditions that existed prior to the initiation of the unauthorized alterations. As necessary, and at the discretion of the DEM agent, the substrate soils remaining following fill removal must be supplemented with an appropriate high-organic plantable soil material. All resulting disturbed surface areas within the affected/restored wetlands must be seeded with an appropriate wetland seed mixture, and then covered with a thick mat of loose straw mulch, which is free of any contaminants that could promote the spread of invasive plant species.
- (d) Remove the unauthorized wooden bridge structure in its entirety, along with any associated fill material (including soil material, rocks, and boulders), from the River and the Riverbank Wetland. All removed bridge components and associated fill materials must be deposited off-site, in an appropriate upland location, outside of all freshwater wetlands. Following fill removal, all affected/disturbed surface areas must be seeded with a suitable wetland seed mixture or wildlife conservation seed mixture (as appropriate), and then covered with a thick mat of loose straw mulch, which is free of any contaminants that could promote the spread of invasive plant species.
- (e) Remove all unauthorized fill material (in the form of at least soil material, rocks, boulders, walls, and lawn components) from the remaining altered Pond, Swamp, Perimeter Wetlands, and Riverbank Wetlands. All unauthorized fill material must be removed down to an elevation that matches the adjacent undisturbed wetland grades, to elevations at which the original native surface soils are encountered (e.g., hydric/organic soil within biological wetland or upland-type topsoil in adjacent buffer areas). Following fill removal, all affected/disturbed surface areas must be seeded with a suitable wetland seed mixture or wildlife conservation seed mixture (as appropriate), and then covered with a thick mat of loose straw mulch, which is free of any contaminants that could promote the spread of invasive plant species.
- (f) Plant all disturbed/altered areas within the affected Perimeter Wetlands and Riverbank Wetlands with trees and shrubs, as follows:

Balled and burlapped or transplanted tree species must be planted in an interspersed fashion, 10 feet on center, 5 feet tall after planting, throughout the areas defined above. Tree species must include an equal distribution of at least 4 of the following selections:

White pine, *Pinus strobus*  
Northern white cedar, *Thuja occidentalis*  
Red maple, *Acer rubrum*  
Box elder, *Acer negundo*  
Black Cherry, *Prunus serotina*  
White ash, *Fraxinus americana*  
White oak, *Quercus alba*  
Northern red oak, *Quercus rubra*  
Sassafras, *Sassafras albidum*  
Gray birch, *Betula populifolia*  
Black birch, *Betula lenta*  
American beech, *Fagus grandifolia*

Balled and burlapped or transplanted shrub species must be planted in an interspersed fashion, 5 feet on center, 4 feet tall after planting, throughout the area defined above. Shrub species must include an equal distribution of at least 5 of the following selections:

Mountain laurel, *Kalmia latifolia*  
Giant rhododendron, *Rhododendron maximum* (shaded areas only)  
Gray (stiff, red panicle) dogwood, *Cornus foemina racemosa*  
Silky dogwood, *Cornus amomum*  
Arrowwood (southern), *Viburnum dentatum*  
American cranberrybush, *Viburnum trilobum*  
Mapleleaf viburnum, *Viburnum acerifolium*  
Highbush blueberry, *Vaccinium corymbosum*  
Lowbush blueberry, *Vaccinium angustifolium*  
Inkberry (Gallberry holly), *Ilex glabra*  
Sweet pepperbush, *Clethra alnifolia*  
Bayberry, *Myrica pennsylvanica*  
Black chokeberry, *Aronia melanocarpa*  
Witchhazel, *Hamamelis virginiana*

In addition, balled and burlapped or transplanted evergreen tree species must be planted in a straight line, 8 feet on center, 5 to 6 feet tall after planting, along the entire outer (landward) edge of the affected Perimeter Wetlands and Riverbank Wetlands. The tree species to be utilized for this screening line must include at least 2 of the following selections:

Northern white cedar, *Thuja occidentalis*  
White pine, *Pinus strobus*  
Pitch Pine, *Pinus rigida*  
Eastern hemlock, *Tsuga canadensis*  
Red cedar, *Juniperus virginiana*

- (g) All areas of disturbed surface soils shall be covered with plantable soil (as necessary), seeded with a wetland seed mix (within restored Swamp surface areas) or a wildlife conservation grass seed mixture (within Perimeter Wetlands and Riverbank Wetlands), and covered with a mat of loose straw mulch (as previously described above).
- (h) Upon final stabilization of disturbed areas, all artificial erosion and sedimentation controls (e.g., silt fences, sand bags, etc.) must be removed from the affected wetlands. Staked haybales, fiber logs, spread hay mulch, and other naturally-based/bio-degradable erosion control measures may remain in place to decompose naturally. Prior to the removal of the controls and/or prior to the contractor vacating the site, all accumulated sediment must be removed to a suitable upland area and all disturbed surfaces must be stabilized as described above.
- (i) Any of the required plantings failing to survive at least 2 full growing seasons from the time they have been planted must be replanted (with the same or similar species) and further replaced (as necessary) until such time that survival is maintained over 2 full growing seasons.
- (j) Retain the services of a qualified environmental (wetlands) consultant, who must be deemed acceptable by the DEM, to oversee the required wetland restoration activities, and provide regular restoration inspection reports to the DEM, as well as post-restoration monitoring reports, for a 2-year period following restoration completion.
- (k) All restored wetland areas, including replanted areas, must be allowed to revegetate naturally and revert to a natural wild state. No future clearing, mowing, cutting, trimming, or other alterations are allowed in the restored wetland areas, or within any other freshwater wetlands on the Property, without first obtaining a valid permit from the DEM.
- (l) All the restoration work outlined above must be completed on or before **31 October 2018**.

F. Penalty

- (1) Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Section 42-17.6-2, the following administrative penalty, as more specifically described in the attached penalty summary and worksheets, is hereby ASSESSED, jointly and severally, against each named respondent:

**\$15,000**

- (2) The proposed administrative penalty is calculated pursuant to the DEM's *Rules and Regulations for Assessment of Administrative Penalties* and must be paid to the DEM within 30 days of your receipt of the NOV. Payment shall be in the form of a certified check, cashier's check or money order made payable to the "General Treasury - Water & Air Protection Program Account" and shall be forwarded to the DEM's Office of Compliance and Inspection, 235 Promenade Street, Suite 220, Providence, Rhode Island 02908-5767.
- (3) Penalties assessed against Respondent in the NOV are penalties payable to and for the benefit of the State of Rhode Island and are not compensation for actual pecuniary loss.

G. Right to Administrative Hearing

- (1) Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Chapters 42-17.1, 42-17.6, 42-17.7 and 42-35, each named respondent is entitled to request a hearing before the DEM's Administrative Adjudication Division regarding the allegations, orders and/or penalties set forth in Sections B through F above. All requests for hearing MUST:
  - (a) Be in writing. See R.I. Gen. Laws Sections 42-17.1-2(21)(i) and 42-17.6-4(b);
  - (b) Be **RECEIVED** by the DEM's Administrative Adjudication Division, at the following address, within 20 days of your receipt of the NOV. See R.I. Gen. Laws Sections 42-17.1-2(21)(i) and 42-17.7-9:

Administrative Clerk  
DEM - Administrative Adjudication Division  
235 Promenade St., Suite 350  
Providence, RI 02908
  - (c) Indicate whether you deny the alleged violations and/or whether you believe that the administrative penalty is excessive. See R.I. Gen. Laws Section 42-17.6-4(b); **AND**
  - (d) State clearly and concisely the specific issues which are in dispute, the facts in support thereof and the relief sought or involved, if any. See Part 1.7(B) of the DEM's *Administrative Rules of Practice and Procedure for the Administrative Adjudication Division for Environmental Matters*.

- (2) A copy of each request for hearing must also be forwarded to:

Christina Hoefsmit, Esquire  
DEM - Office of Legal Services  
235 Promenade Street, 4<sup>TH</sup> Floor  
Providence, RI 02908-5767

- (3) Each named respondent has the right to be represented by legal counsel at all administrative proceedings relating to this matter.
- (4) Each respondent must file a separate and timely request for an administrative hearing before the DEM's Administrative Adjudication Division as to each violation alleged in the written NOV. If any respondent fails to request a hearing in the above-described time or manner regarding any violation set forth herein, then the NOV shall automatically become a Final Compliance Order enforceable in Superior Court as to that respondent and/or violation and any associated administrative penalty proposed in the NOV shall be final as to that respondent. See R.I. Gen. Laws Sections 42-17.1-2(21)(i) and (vi) and 42-17.6-4(b) and (c).
- (5) Failure to comply with the NOV may subject each respondent to additional civil and/or criminal penalties.
- (6) An original signed copy of the NOV is being forwarded to the Town of Hopkinton, Rhode Island wherein the Property is located to be recorded in the Office of Land Evidence Records pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws Chapter 34-13 and 2-1-24, as amended.
- (7) The NOV does not preclude the Director from taking any additional enforcement action nor does it preclude any other local, state, or federal governmental entities from initiating enforcement actions based on the acts or omissions described herein.

If you have any legal questions, you may contact (or if you are represented by an attorney, please have your attorney contact) Christina Hoefsmit, Esq. of the DEM's Office of Legal Services at (401) 222-6607. All other inquiries should be directed to David Chopy of the DEM's Office of Compliance and Inspection at (401) 222-1360 extension 7400.

Please be advised that any such inquiries do not postpone, eliminate, or otherwise extend the need for a timely submittal of a written request for a hearing, as described in Section G above.

FOR THE DIRECTOR

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
David E. Chopy, Chief  
Office of Compliance and Inspection

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_  
the within Notice of Violation was forwarded to:

Edward M. Carapezza  
336 Woodville Road  
Hopkinton, RI 02804-2505

by Certified Mail.

\_\_\_\_\_



# ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY SUMMARY

Program: OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE AND INSPECTION, WETLANDS  
 File No.: OCI-FW-15-62  
 Respondent: Edward M. Carapezza

<b>GRAVITY OF VIOLATION</b>					
SEE ATTACHED "PENALTY MATRIX WORKSHEETS."					
VIOLATION No. & CITATION	APPLICATION OF MATRIX		PENALTY CALCULATION		AMOUNT
	Type	Deviation	Penalty from Matrix	Number or Duration of Violations	
D (1) and D (2) Alteration Of Wetlands Without A Permit – Facts C(3)(a), (c) and (f)	Type I (\$10,000 Max. Penalty) *	Major	\$10,000	1 violation	\$10,000
D (1) and D (2) Alteration Of Wetlands Without A Permit – Facts C(3)(b), (d) and (g)	Type I (\$5,000 Max. Penalty) *	Major	\$5,000	1 violation	\$5,000
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>					<b>\$15,000</b>

\*Maximum Penalties represent the maximum penalty amounts per day, per violation.

## ECONOMIC BENEFIT FROM NONCOMPLIANCE

COSTS OF COMPLIANCE, EQUIPMENT, O&M, STUDIES OR OTHER DELAYED OR AVOIDED COSTS, INCLUDING INTEREST AND/OR ANY COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE DERIVED OVER ENTITIES THAT COMPLY. NOTE: ECONOMIC BENEFIT MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE PENALTY UNLESS:

- THERE IS NO IDENTIFIABLE BENEFIT FROM NONCOMPLIANCE; OR
- THE AMOUNT OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT CAN NOT BE QUANTIFIED.

A review of the record in this matter has revealed that Respondent has either enjoyed no identifiable benefit from the noncompliance alleged in this enforcement action or that the amount of economic benefit that may have resulted cannot be quantified.

## COST RECOVERY

ADDITIONAL OR EXTRAORDINARY COSTS INCURRED BY THE DIRECTOR DURING THE INVESTIGATION, ENFORCEMENT AND RESOLUTION OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION (EXCLUDING NON-OVERTIME PERSONNEL COSTS), FOR WHICH THE STATE IS NOT OTHERWISE REIMBURSED.

A review of the record in this matter has revealed that the DEM has not incurred any additional or extraordinary costs during the investigation, enforcement and resolution of this enforcement action (excluding non-overtime personnel costs), for which the State is not otherwise reimbursed.

**TOTAL PENALTY PROPOSED UNDER PENALTY REGULATIONS = \$15,000**

# PENALTY MATRIX WORKSHEET

CITATION: Alteration Of Wetlands Without A Permit  
 VIOLATION NO.: D (1) and D (2) – Facts C(3)(a), (c) and (f)

TYPE		
<u>  X  </u> TYPE I DIRECTLY related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	____TYPE II INDIRECTLY related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	____TYPE III INCIDENTAL to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.

**DEVIATION FROM THE STANDARD**  
 THE DEGREE TO WHICH A VIOLATION IS OUT OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENT VIOLATED.

**FACTORS CONSIDERED:**

Taken from Part 1.10A.1.b of the DEM's *Rules and Regulations for Assessment of Administrative Penalties*

- (1) **The extent to which the act or failure to act was out of compliance:** Respondent altered freshwater wetlands by clearing, filling (in the form of at least soil material, rocks, and boulders), grading and soil disturbance within a Marsh, a Pond, and a Swamp. The severity of the alterations to the wetland environment was determined to be of major importance to the regulatory program.
- (2) **Environmental conditions:** The Marsh, Swamp and Pond were undisturbed prior to the alterations. The alterations involved construction of a road and the creation of a lawn and lining of the shoreline of the Pond with boulders.
- (3) **Amount of the pollutant:** Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (4) **Toxicity or nature of the pollutant:** Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (5) **Duration of the violation:** Full duration unknown – at least 10 years for some alterations based on a review of aerial photographs. The DEM first became aware of the alterations on or about June 23, 2015 when we performed an inspection of the Property.
- (6) **Areal extent of the violation:** 12,500 square feet.

(continued)

(continued from the previous page)

- (7) **Whether the person took reasonable and appropriate steps to prevent and/or mitigate the noncompliance:** Respondent did not take reasonable and appropriate steps to prevent the noncompliance. Respondent submitted a letter to the DEM dated November 13, 2015 in response to the NIE. In the letter, Respondent stated that he knew wetlands were present on the Property and that he had spoken with numerous DEM officials over the years concerning wetland practices, so he should have been aware of the requirements of the DEM’s Freshwater Wetland Regulations and the need to obtain a permit for the work he undertook. Respondent was advised by a DEM agent during the June 23, 2015 inspection that he could not do any work in the wetlands without a permit – despite this knowledge, Respondent further altered the wetlands by installing a bridge structure without a permit from the DEM. Respondent has not taken any steps to mitigate the noncompliance, despite receiving the NIE on 12 November 2015 requiring restoration of the altered wetlands.
- (8) **Whether the person has previously failed to comply with any regulations, order, statute, license, permit or approval issued or adopted by the Department, or any law which the Department has the authority or responsibility to enforce:** Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (9) **The degree of willfulness or negligence, including but not limited to, how much control the violator had over the occurrence of the violation and whether the violation was foreseeable:** Respondent had complete control over the project, and the violation was foreseeable. Respondent had knowledge that wetlands were present on the Property and knowledge of the DEM’s Freshwater Wetland Regulations.
- (10) **Any other factor(s) that may be relevant in determining the amount of a penalty:** The Freshwater Wetlands Act allows the DEM to assess a penalty for each separate and distinct violation up to a maximum of \$10,000 for violations that are knowing. Fact C (3)(a), (c) and (f) identify 3 separate and distinct violations.

<u>X</u> MAJOR	MODERATE	MINOR
----------------	----------	-------

Penalty Matrix where the applicable statute provides for a civil penalty up to \$10,000		TYPE I	TYPE II	TYPE III
		DEVIATION FROM STANDARD	<b>MAJOR</b> \$5,000 to \$10,000 <b>\$10,000</b>	\$2,500 to \$5,000
	MODERATE	\$2,500 to \$5,000	\$1,000 to \$2,500	\$500 to \$1,000
	MINOR	\$1,000 to \$2,500	\$500 to \$1,000	\$100 to \$500

# PENALTY MATRIX WORKSHEET

CITATION: Alteration Of Wetlands Without A Permit  
 VIOLATION NO.: D (1) and D (2) – Facts C(3)(b), (d) and (g)

TYPE		
<u>  X  </u> TYPE I	___TYPE II	___TYPE III
<u>DIRECTLY</u> related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	<u>INDIRECTLY</u> related to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.	<u>INCIDENTAL</u> to protecting health, safety, welfare or environment.

**DEVIATION FROM THE STANDARD**  
 THE DEGREE TO WHICH A VIOLATION IS OUT OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENT VIOLATED.

**FACTORS CONSIDERED:**

Taken from Part 1.10A.1.b of the DEM's *Rules and Regulations for Assessment of Administrative Penalties*

- (1) **The extent to which the act or failure to act was out of compliance:** Respondent altered freshwater wetlands by clearing, filling (in the form of at least soil material, rocks, and boulders), grading and soil disturbance within Perimeter Wetland and Riverbank Wetland. The severity of the alterations to the wetland environment was determined to be of major importance to the regulatory program.
- (2) **Environmental conditions:** The Perimeter Wetland and Riverbank Wetland were undisturbed prior to the alterations. The alterations involved construction of a road and the creation of a lawn and a rock wall and other features.
- (3) **Amount of the pollutant:** Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (4) **Toxicity or nature of the pollutant:** Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (5) **Duration of the violation:** Full duration unknown – at least 10 years for some alterations based on a review of aerial photographs. The DEM first became aware of the alterations on or about June 23, 2015 when we performed an inspection of the Property.
- (6) **Areal extent of the violation:** 22,000 square feet.
- (7) **Whether the person took reasonable and appropriate steps to prevent and/or mitigate the noncompliance:** The DEM does not have specific knowledge that Respondent knew that the Perimeter Wetland and Riverbank Wetland were regulated wetlands, so for this calculation we did not conclude that Respondent failed to take reasonable and appropriate steps to prevent the noncompliance. Respondent has not taken any steps to mitigate the noncompliance, despite receiving the NIE on 12 November 2015 requiring restoration of the altered wetlands.
- (8) **Whether the person has previously failed to comply with any regulations, order, statute, license, permit or approval issued or adopted by the Department, or any law which the Department has the authority or responsibility to enforce:** Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.

(continued)

(continued from the previous page)

- (9) **The degree of willfulness or negligence, including but not limited to, how much control the violator had over the occurrence of the violation and whether the violation was foreseeable:** Considered, but not utilized for this calculation.
- (10) **Any other factor(s) that may be relevant in determining the amount of a penalty:** The Freshwater Wetlands Act allows the DEM to assess a penalty for each separate and distinct violation up to a maximum of \$5,000 for violations that are knowing. Fact C (3)(b), (d) and (g) identify 3 separate and distinct violations.

<u>X</u> MAJOR	MODERATE	MINOR
----------------	----------	-------

Penalty Matrix where the applicable statute provides for a civil penalty up to \$5,000		TYPE I	TYPE II	TYPE III
DEVIATION FROM STANDARD	<b>MAJOR</b>	\$2,500 to \$5,000 <b>\$5,000</b>	\$1,250 to \$2,500	\$500 to \$1,250
	MODERATE	\$1,250 to \$2,500	\$500 to \$1,250	\$250 to \$500
	MINOR	\$500 to \$1,250	\$250 to \$500	\$100 to \$250